

	Reactive	Compliant	Managed	Integrated
Safety leadership	<p>Has no formal approach to safety Desires to stay out of trouble Thinks "common sense" is a safety principle</p>	<p>Committed to a cost- and compliance-based safety program Defines success as avoiding OSHA and keeping insurance costs down Expects safety modeling only from individual or committee responsible for safety Uses time-based incentives to motivate staff</p>	<p>Demonstrates safe behavior, starting with management Developing hazard management systems Focuses on safety as a key program Uses safety as a measurement in performance reviews Identifying trends using "historical information" Establishing safety and performance goals</p>	<p>Values safety as an instinctual precondition of work Expects consistent safe behavior from leadership Recognizes employees for modeling safe behavior Measures activities that lead positive results. Challenges employees to improve safety Tracks behavioral observations Measures employee perceptions Shares responsibility</p>
Accountability	<p>Holds employees accountable for not using "common sense" Disciplines employees most often after an incident or accident</p>	<p>Sees OSHA and workers' compensation as negative consequences Disciplines by policing and as a way to ensure compliance Designs incentives in a way that might discourage injury reporting</p>	<p>Defines leadership roles to make them accountable for safety Holds employees accountable to defined responsibilities and procedures Incorporates safety expectations into annual performance reviews Bases incentives on improving results such as incident rate or lack of claims</p>	<p>Rewards and recognizes safety efforts and positive behaviors, not results Makes employees accountable to each other</p>
Employee involvement	<p>Expects employees to be responsible for their own behavior</p>	<p>Expects employees to participate in OSHA compliance programs</p>	<p>Seeks employee input and involvement Believe safety is important to the company and to be valued by all employees</p>	<p>Empowers employees at all levels to make safety changes Rewards messengers Desire for safety by all employees</p>
Risk and systems assessment	<p>Believe that outcomes are often out of their control; systems just can fail Conducts risk assessment only after an incident</p>	<p>Investigates accidents superficially Assesses risk (job hazard analysis, for example) to a small degree</p>	<p>Investigates the root cause of incidents and accidents Assesses risk on a regular basis</p>	<p>Refines systems continually Assesses risk routinely in preplanning and ongoing operations</p>
Programs, procedures, and training	<p>Relies on "experienced workers" Trains in a learn-as-you-go style (on-the-job training) Oriented most often toward production, not safety</p>	<p>Trains as required by OSHA, often through videos Uses OSHA-required programs as generic written program Has one person or committee responsible for safety Makes one effort at improvement</p>	<p>Formalized new employee training and ongoing training Mentors through job-specific, hands-on training Coaches new employees; coaching by supervisors or leaders on an ongoing basis Integrating safety into entire culture Gives supervisors clear responsibility for safety Customizes written policy and uses for employees and leadership Reviews programs periodically</p>	<p>Shares responsibility for safety at all levels of the company Enhances safety involvement at all levels Improves safety procedures continually Empowers employees for peer-to-peer coaching and observation Creates quality training programs</p>
Materials, equipment, budget, and environment	<p>Demonstrates indifference to ergonomics for the most part (may have a poster) Uses old, outdated equipment Considers hazards and unsafe behavior common Does not address industrial hygiene exposures</p>	<p>Has some lifting rules and limits Is reactive rather than proactive to ergonomic issues Uses personal protective equipment and guarding as key safety measures Assesses industrial hygiene for compliance only Budgets for safety items sometimes</p>	<p>Evaluates ergonomics on a systematic basis Builds safety procedures and ergonomic teams and expertise into the process Uses engineering controls to manage hazards Budgets for safety items in every budget</p>	<p>Fully integrates ergonomics and considers it in all phases of pre-planning Eliminates or reduces most hazards through thoughtful planning and design Designs safety into every process Includes safety in annual planning Continually updates equipment, environment, and materials to the most current technology</p>